

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 2093 HB	Title: Sexually violent predators	Agency: 055-Administrative Office of the Courts
-----------------------------	--	--

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Expenditures from:

NONE

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note for Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Contact	Phone:	Date: 01/15/2024
Agency Preparation: Angie Wirkkala	Phone: 360-704-5528	Date: 01/29/2024
Agency Approval: Chris Stanley	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 01/29/2024
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

192,632.00

Form FN (Rev 1/00)

Request # 92-1

Bill # 2093 HB

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

This bill would amend Chapter 71.09 RCW (Sexually Violent Predators) intending to improve community safety and justice in the civil commitment of sexually violent predators.

Section 2 would further define least restrictive alternative to include placement in a facility owned, operated, or under contract with the Department of Social and Health Services.

Section 3 would require the court to send notice of a placement to the prosecuting agency in the county where the person will be conditionally released. The section also amends the court process to follow when an offender petitions the court for a specific less restrictive alternative.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

None

II. C - Expenditures

This bill would have minor impact to the Administrative Office of the Courts by requiring this information to be included in court education.

The total impact to courts is indeterminate, but likely very low. The courts would experience additional fiscal impact because they would be required to send out additional notices to the county prosecutor's office when placement of least restrictive alternative is granted. The estimate per case is an additional 15 minutes for Clerks to provide the notice as long as the court order includes complete contact information for the receiving county prosecutor.

There have only been 68 filings for Petition for Civil Commitment for Sexually Violent Predators over the past five years. We estimate that during that time, only 2 cases would meet the criteria of bill.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

NONE

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

NONE

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

NONE

III. D - FTE Detail

NONE

III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

NONE

192,632.00

Form FN (Rev 1/00)

2

Request # 92-1

Bill # 2093 HB

IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

NONE

IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

None